

**South Sacramento – Florin Community Air Protection Steering Committee
Steering Committee Meeting #4 Notes
Tuesday, March 19, 2019 – 6:30 pm – 8:30 pm**

Steering Committee Members	Organization
Jennifer Ablog	Kaiser Permanente
Shirley Banks	Resident
Gary Johansen	Resident, North Laguna Creek Neighborhood Association (President)
Bill Knowlton (Chair)	Mack Road Partnership
Patricia Shelby (Vice chair)	NLCNA Community Resident
Vincent Valdez	United Latinos EJ Committee Resident
Rhonda Henderson	North Laguna Creek Valley High Community Association (President)
Bishop Baker	Education Advocate
Evelyn Craine	South Sacramento Christian Center
Joelle Toney	City of Sacramento
Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD)	
Jamie Arno	SMAQMD
J.J. Hurley	SMAQMD
Jaime Lemus	SMAQMD
Mark Loutzenhiser	SMAQMD
Rich Muzzy	SMAQMD
Janice Lam Snyder	SMAQMD
David Yang	SMAQMD
Public and Other Organizations	
Jeremy Herbert	CARB
David Ridley	CARB
Kelley Kerber	CARB
Denise McCoy	SAC Act
John Lane	Teichert, Clean Air Partnership
Adriana Rehn	Valley Vision/Oak Park Neighborhood
Jesus Hernandez	JCH Research
Tamara Ricks	
Mia Dawson	U.C. Davis

Note: All presentations and meeting materials are available on the District website at <http://www.airquality.org/> under Community Air Protection and Steering Committee.

1. Welcome and Introductions

The Steering Committee Chair began the meeting at 6:30 pm. Meeting attendees introduced themselves and the group/and organizations they represented. Steering committee members were provided copies of the presentations and other information. Copies of meeting materials were also made available to the public.

2. Recap and approve meeting notes

The District passed out two versions of the meeting notes. Both versions were revisions to the draft meeting notes that were sent out prior to the meeting. A steering committee member provided comments and suggested changes to the draft meeting notes, which was presented as Meeting Notes Version 2. Meeting Notes Version 1 was the District's responses to the steering committee member comments and the suggested changes. District's responses that were different from Meeting Notes Version 1 are presented below. Both versions included the comments made by the steering committee member, and the change of identifying individuals by names to a general identifier by the group they represented (e.g. District, Steering Committee Member, Public, etc).

- On page 3, the last paragraph in Agenda Item 5 was revised to state "Committee members asked questions about electric school busses in the Elk Grove school district. The District responded that Elk Grove had previously participated but has chosen not to participate in year 1 of Cap and Trade funding. A Steering Committee member asked how bringing electrical infrastructure helps them. The District responded that we will provide further information about incentives at the next meeting."
- On page 5, the statement for Agenda Item 7 was revised to state "There were no public comments during this particular agenda item (Discuss and prioritize air quality Concerns)."
- On page 5, the third and fourth sentences for Agenda Item 8 were revised to state "A Steering Committee member expressed concerns over the effectiveness of our actions in making changes in the air quality in our neighborhoods and how effective certain strategies have in bringing about a meaningful impact."

The steering committee members discussed the changes.

- ✓ The steering committee approved Version 1 of the meeting notes for the February 26 Steering Committee meeting.
- ✓ The steering committee agreed that future meeting notes could reflect groups instead of specific names.

The Chair stated that to be mindful of everyone's time, the meeting will try to follow the agenda. If the public has comments on an agenda item, the public is requested to fill out a comment card and pass it to the chair so the questions can be answered during the public comment session. The comment card should include the name, affiliation, agenda item number, and the question.

3. Meeting Logistics & Follow-up

Future Meeting Locations

The District thanked the Steering Committee Chair for the use of the Mack Road Partnership meeting facilities. The District stated that to accommodate the growing number of interested public participants, the District is looking to move the meetings to a larger venue. The Florin Creek Recreation Center was

discussed as a potential possibility, and the District said that it remained open to other options or suggestions from the steering committee members.

- ✓ The steering committee agreed to hold the next steering committee meeting (on March 26) at the Florin Creek Recreation Center if it is available.

Legislature Briefing

The District thanked the steering committee chair and vice chair for attending the legislature briefing on March 18. The District gave a recap of the legislature briefing and stated that representatives from the six air districts that had communities selected for year 1 implementation and some members of those steering committees attended the meeting. The Chair informed the group that it was apparent at the legislature hearing that this group does a good job of listening to each other compared to other steering committee groups from the other air districts.

- The Steering Committee Vice Chair requested that phone numbers of the steering committee members be made available to the steering committee so members can call each other, if needed.

Steering Committee Membership and Vacancy

The District said that there is one proposed steering committee member who has not attended any of the meetings and the District has tried multiple times to contact the individual. The District will proceed with the process to remove the member by sending a written notice.

- The District will bring back to the steering committee at the April meeting the District's intent to remove the steering committee if the individual does not show up at the April meeting.

Concerns on Decision Making

The District addressed the steering committee's concerns that when they make a decisions, the decisions are set and cannot be modified in the future, which is not the case in the development of the monitoring plan. In fact, there is flexibility to make changes to the monitoring plan as it is being developed or when more information becomes available. The monitoring plan is an iterated process and can be modified, as needed.

4. Objectives and Scope of Actions

Survey Results

The District stated that it sent out a survey after the last meeting on the identified air quality concerns. The District received seven completed surveys from the steering committee. The District explained that the concerns were ranked using a point system where one marked concern received one point. The results of the survey were presented to the steering committee and shown below. The District explained that the air quality concern listed as no. 1 scored the highest. The concerns that were not on the list

were those that were not identified as top concerns. The rankings with multiple concerns (no. 3, 4, and 5) mean that those concerns received the same scores.

Air Quality Concerns	
1.	Need to increase education and outreach efforts, especially to minority population
2.	Emissions from highway 99
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing rates of asthma and respiratory problems in the community, and for sensitive groups Emissions in and from small businesses
4.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Idling trucks/traffic on Mack Road and Stockton Boulevard Children walking to school and crossing intersections where these are located at high traffic areas or the highway 99 corridor Indoor air quality/air pollution Airport emissions
5.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic on Franklin Boulevard Vehicles idling at light rail and train crossing Fireplaces Effects on the many underserved population, including your children, need to be better understood Economic ramifications Campbell Soup (no longer active)

Nail Salons

The District reported back on emissions in and from nail salons. Regarding air quality, nail salons had two issues: indoor air quality and emissions from materials used in a nail salon. Indoor air quality is regulated by Occupational Safety and Health Association (OSHA), where there are workplace requirement to protect workers from being expose to the fumes. OSHA requires several protective measure, such as providing sufficient ventilation inside the salon. Emissions from nail salons are not a permitted source in the District because they fall below the permitting threshold. CARB has conducted a draft study in 2010 that looked at Health Risk Assessment (HRA) of nail salons, and the study determined that impacts to nearby areas were minimal and did not pose a significant health risk to public. Some products used in nail salons are regulated by CARB’s Consumer Products Regulation.

Meteorology

A Steering Committee member provided some meteorology data in the area. The information was discussed, and it was determined that the prevailing winds in Sacramento usually come from the south or southwest.

Monitoring Development Process

The District explained the monitoring development process on how identifying concerns lead to objectives, objectives help determine the monitoring needs, and how collecting monitoring data results in actions taken to address the concerns. Also, if there’s an intended action or desired result, then it may be used to help develop the objective. The District also explained that this is an iterative process and it is important to connect the steps.

To illustrate this process, the District provided several examples of the Concerns, Objectives, Air Quality Monitoring, and Results/Action from other monitoring projects. The examples discussed by the District were:

- Denver's Air Quality Community Action Network
- South Coast Air Quality Management District I-710 Monitoring Project
- Bay Area Air Quality Management District Wood Smoke Monitoring
- West Oakland Monitoring and Action Plan
- South Coast Air Quality Management District Hexavalent Chromium Monitoring.

Discussion of Concerns, Objectives, Monitoring and Results/Desired Actions for the Community and Incentive Projects

To help initiate discussion between steering committee members, the District provided examples of Objectives, Monitoring (Options) and Results/Desired Actions for the concern of emissions from Hwy 99/traffic. The District explained that the concern about of emissions from Hwy 99 was not just about mobile sources on Hwy 99 but mobile source emission in general in the community. One example of desired actions to help address the concern of the impacts from Hwy 99 or traffic is the District's incentive program. The District discussed potential incentive projects that may be implemented in the community to help reduce emissions from mobile sources in general. Example projects are the diesel trade-in program where old diesel vehicles are traded in new diesel vehicles, replacement of dirty school buses with electric school buses, Clean Cars 4 All, Car Share, Jump Bikes and electrical infrastructure. The year 1 projects were discussed to give the committee ideas of the type of potential projects that could be implemented for this community in the future.

- The Steering Committee Vice Chair requested a report back on the school bus fleets that are currently being funded within and surrounding the community (specifically Elk Grove and Sac City School Districts).

The challenge for these type of projects is that the current incentive guidelines (for CAP) are too restrictive, which limit the projects that could be implemented. The District asked committee members to make comments on the guidelines that are currently being updated by CARB for funding future projects if they would like to see the guidelines changed to have more flexibility on projects. Projects are required to align with community priorities and further clarification within the guidance might help create more investments in this community. The proposed incentive guidelines will be open for a 30-day comment period from March 22 to April 22.

After the District's presentation of the development process, the Steering Committee discussed the concerns, objectives, monitoring needs, and results/desired actions for their top concerns.

- ✓ The Steering Committee provided the following. The Steering Committee did not have time to discuss the concerns of emissions in and from small businesses.

South Sacramento – Florin Community

Concerns	Objectives	Monitoring (Options)	Results/Desired Actions
Emissions from Hwy 99/traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What portion of emissions from traffic are from heavy duty vehicle vs light duty vehicle? On-road vs. off-road? • How far does the impact of traffic related pollutants from Hwy 99 extend? • Grid map in residential areas, impacts to the schools/hospitals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Particulate Matter • Black Carbon • Toxics from diesel and gasoline • Detect other types of emission/pollutants • Monitoring along the freeway, have increments from each monitors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EV infrastructures should be located at the most used off-ramp • Incentive for car pooling, telecommuting • Truck enforcement in south sacramento

Objectives and Actions– March 19, 2019



South Sacramento – Florin Community

Concerns	Objectives	Monitoring	Desired Actions
Need to increase air quality education and outreach efforts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who is the source of information? Make sure they are getting quality information • Increase air quality awareness to the community • Where to find and understand the information, multiple languages 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct contact with sac city and elk grove school district, use school as direct source to sign on air quality awareness • Marketing plan • Notification to groups
Increasing rates of asthma and respiratory problems in the community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor around sensitive groups, children, elderly, disabled • Seasonal monitoring • Determine high risk areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partner with local health officials on when things correlate • Identify the risk/provide information to make that decision; i.e. dispense air mask when air quality is poor
Emissions and impacts in and from small businesses			
Identify the actual sources of pollution that causes people to die Then decide what do			
Other (?)			

Other issues that were discussed include:

- Is there a way to determine if cars are going completely through on Highway 99 or stopping in South Sacramento? The District responded that there may be data available.
- How do we determine if emissions are coming from light duty versus heavy duty vehicles? The District responded that there are specific tracers used to help identify the source category.
- What is the amount of funding available for monitoring in the community? The District said that this will be discussed at the next meeting.

The District explained that the District is working with CARB to develop an emission inventory for the community, which will include the mobile emissions. CARB may also have activity data from

mobile sources on the freeway and major roadways and intersections. However, the data will not be available until after the meeting next week.

Public Comments

The following public questions were asked:

- Why is I-5 not included? The Steering Committee and District responded that the community boundaries did not extend over to I-5 and is too far away to consider as part of this study
- Why are factories not included? The District responded that factories or stationary sources are not being excluded from monitoring and that it is up to the steering committee to determine what should be addressed in the monitoring plan. The District also stated that a map was presented at the previous meeting that showed locations of stationary sources and the map was used to refine the boundary.
- How is car sharing sustainable? The District responded that car share can be incorporated into different programs. Another option is looking at different options, such as pay by the hour option.
- Why not just check with CalEnviroScreen? The District responded that CalEnviroScreen includes limited air quality data and the scale of the data is at a census tract level so it was used as a screening tool to determine community priorities.
- How many people live in South Sacramento? Over 75% of the steering committee members raised their hands along with a couple of District staff members. (Note: A list of the steering committee members and if they live or work in the community can be found in the Community Air Protection Section of the District's webpage). The District also explained that a requirement to serve on the steering committee is that they live or work in the community and the majority of the steering committee are members who live in the community.
- Should we expect to see the light duty incentive program (such as San Joaquin Valley and Clean Cars 4 All)? The District said that those programs are possible for future funding in the community.
- Is Campbell Soup inactive? The District responded that Campbell Soup is inactive. However, there are several facilities in the general area. The public also added that there is a freight stop for trucks to load and unload cargo.
- Where does funding for these incentive projects come from and for how long? The District explained that funding comes from the Cap and Trade Program and that how long funding is available depends on the appropriations of the Cap and Trade Program. The Cap and Trade Program is expected to be around until after 2030.

5. Wrap-Up and Next steps

The steering committee chair was asked about the potential steering committee seat opening up and the process used to fill it. The District stated that once the seat becomes available, the opening will be noticed on our website and sent via email to our Community Air Protection listserve, and the District will

ask those who are interested to apply. The applications will be reviewed to make sure they meet the criteria.

A flyer on the Meadowview Community History Festival was passed out.

- The District stated that it will be looking at the Concerns, Objectives, Monitoring (Options) and Results/Desired Actions for the remaining issues and capture the ideas that have been discussed and present them at the next meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 8:55 pm