SOIL REMEDIATION

BACT Size:

Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day)

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

BACT Determination Number:

151

BACT Determination Date:

4/4/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number:

N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

Equipment Description:

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ROCs	Standard:	9.9 lb/day & % control based on influent VOC
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers, or Carbon Adsorption
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
NOx	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
SOx	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM10	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM2.5	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
CO	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	IC Engines, Thermal Oxidizers, Catalytic Oxidizers
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: SVE that achieve the control efficiency requirements stated below:

For Effluent VOC Concentrations <= 10 ppmv, no required % control efficiency.

For Influent VOC Concentrations >= 2,000 ppmv, at least 98.5% control efficiency required.

For Influent VOC Concentrations >= 200 ppmv and < 2,000 ppmv, at least 97% control efficiency required. For Influent VOC Concentrations < 200 ppmv at least 90% control efficiency required.

District Contact: Michelle Joe

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4853

email: mjoe@airquality.org

SVE - IC ENGINE

BACT Size:

Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day)

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

BACT Determination Number:

151

BACT Determination Date:

4/4/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number:

N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

Equipment Description:

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	9.9 lb/day & % control based on influent VOC
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
NOx	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
SOx	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	
***************************************	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM10	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM2.5	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
CO	Standard:	(see additional BACT for technology below)
	Technology	LPG as an auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
	Description:	Achieved in Pactice
Wantabara and a same a	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

C	Λ	m	١,	n	Δ	n	ts	•
•	v	••	"	1 4	Ç		ιJ	M

District Contact: Michelle Joe

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4853

email: mjoe@airquality.org

SVE - THERMAL OXIDIZER

BACT Size:

Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day)

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

BACT Determination Number:

BACT Determination Date: 4/4/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number:

N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

Equipment Description:

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	9.9 lb/day & % control based on influent VOC
1,003	Technology	1400 °F and 0.5 second retention time.
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
NOx	Standard:	NG or LPG and good combustion practices
	Technology	(also see comments below for burners that heat up the thermal oxidizer bed)
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
SOx	Standard:	NG or LPG and good combustion practices
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM10	Standard:	NG or LPG and good combustion practices
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
PM2.5	Standard:	NG or LPG and good combustion practices
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
CO	Standard:	NG or LPG and good combustion practices
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology	
	Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: Burners fired on process gas & supplemental fuel, or with propane, butane or LPG where NG is not available: No standard. Burners fired on 100% natural gas or propane: 30 ppm NOx at 3% O2 for process temp ≤800 °F, 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O2 for process temp >800 °F.

Burners fired on liquid fuel: 40 ppm NOx at 3% O2 for process temp <1200 °F, 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O2 for process temp

District Contact: Michelle Joe

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4853

email: mjoe@airquality.org

SVE - CATALYTIC OXIDIZER

BACT Size:

Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day)

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

BACT Determination Number: 151 **BACT Determination Date:**

4/4/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number:

N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

Equipment Description:

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	9.9 lb/day & % control based on influent VOC
	Technology Description:	600 °F and 0.5 second retention time.
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
NOx	Standard:	see comments below
-	Technology Description:	(for burners that heat up the catalyst bed)
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
SOx	Standard:	,
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM10	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM2.5	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
СО	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: Burners fired on process gas & supplemental fuel, or with propane, butane or LPG where NG is not available: No standard. Burners fired on 100% natural gas or propane: 30 ppm NOx at 3% O2 for process temp ≤800 °F, 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O2 for process temp >800 °F.

Burners fired on liquid fuel: 40 ppm NOx at 3% O2 for process temp <1200 °F, 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O2 for process temp ≥1200 °F.

District Contact: Michelle Joe

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4853

email: mjoe@airquality.org

Printed: 4/4/2017

SVE - Carbon Adsorption

BACT Determination Date:

BACT Size:

Small Emitter BACT (PTE < 10 lb/day)

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

4/4/2017

Equipment Information

Permit Number:

N/A -- Generic BACT Determination

151

Equipment Description:

BACT Determination Number:

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION (SVE)

Unit Size/Rating/Capacity:

Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day

Equipment Location:

BACT Determination Information

ROCs	Standard:	9.9 lb/day & % control based on influent VOC
	Technology Description:	Carbon Adsorption
	Basis:	Achieved in Pactice
NOx	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
SOx	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM10	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
PM2.5	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
СО	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	
LEAD	Standard:	
	Technology Description:	
	Basis:	

Comments: SVE that achieve the control efficiency requirements stated below:

For Effluent VOC Concentrations <= 10 ppmv, no required % control efficiency.

For Influent VOC Concentrations >= 2,000 ppmv, at least 98.5% control efficiency required.
For Influent VOC Concentrations >= 200 ppmv and < 2,000 ppmv, at least 97% control efficiency required.

For Influent VOC Concentrations < 200 ppmv at least 90% control efficiency required.

District Contact: Michelle Joe

Phone No.: (916) 874 - 4853

email: mjoe@airquality.org

Printed: 4/4/2017



ADDENDUM TO BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION

	DETERMINATION NO.: _	151
	DATE:	May 3, 2017
	ENGINEER:	Michelle Joe
Category/General Equipment	-	
Description:	Soil Remediation	
Equipment Specific Description:	Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)	
Equipment Size/Rating:	Small Emitter (<10 lb/day) and M	linor Source BACT
Previous BACT Det. No.:	89	

This addendum to Best Available Control Technology (BACT) determination will update Determination #89 which was made on November 17, 2014 for Soil Remediation – Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) and Determination #151 which was public noticed on March 3, 2017.

Based on public comments received for SVE controlled by thermal oxidizers and catalytic oxidizers, this addendum will include a clarification that the NOx limit only applies to burners used to heat up thermal oxidizer beds and catalytic oxidizer beds.

Based on internal comments received for SVE controlled by IC engines, this addendum will remove the reference to SMAQMD BACT No. 143 & 144 – IC Engine Spark – Prime, Gaseous-Fueled (Excluding Biogas), which was later discovered to not be an approved BACT determination.

This source category involves the in-situ ("in place") remediation of VOCs in the vadose zone (the portion of the subsurface above the water table) using vacuum blowers and extraction wells to induce gas flow through the subsurface, collecting the contaminated soil vapor, and then treating the vapors aboveground. *Note: Thermal desorption units and soil remediation kilns were not reviewed as part of this soil vapor extraction BACT category.*

Additionally, this determination is being updated to include T-BACT for the Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC) – typically benzene, MtBE, perchloroethylene (PCE), and/or trichloroethylene (TCE) – associated with soil remediation.

BACT/T-BACT ANALYSIS

A. ACHIEVED IN PRACTICE (Rule 202, §205.1a):

The following control technologies are currently employed as BACT/T-BACT for Soil Remediation – Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) for projects emitting <10 lb/day VOC by the following air pollution control districts (see Attachment A for copies of listed BACT determinations):

US EPA

BACT:

Source: EPA RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse

For Pro	For Process Type 29.100 – Contaminated Soil Treatment*			
RBLC II	RBLC ID: <u>AZ-0031</u> (6/3/1996) for soil remediation unit controlled by thermal oxidizer, 20			
	ton/hour soil throughput capacity			
VOC	90% control efficiency; 55 tons/year emission limit			
NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found			
SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found			
PM10	N/A - No BACT determinations found			
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found			
CO	N/A – No BACT determinations found			

^{*} This BACT determination was found to be the most stringent Achieved in Practice BACT determination published in the EPA RACT/BACT/LAER clearinghouse. See Attachment B for more information.

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

40 CFR Part 60 - New Source Performance Standards (NSPS):

There are currently no 40 CFR, Part 60 NSPS sections that apply to this source category.

<u>40 CFR Part 61 – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)</u>: There are currently no 40 CFR, Part 61 NESHAPs that apply to this source category.

40 CFR Part 63 - NESHAPS for Source Categories (MACT Standards):

There are currently no 40 CFR, Part 63 NESHAPs that apply to this source category.

The following rule was reviewed and is discussed below to verify inapplicability:

<u>40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GGGGG – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Site Remediation (amended 10/8/2003)</u>

This subpart applies to remediation activities co-located at major stationary sources that emit hazardous air pollutants (HAP) and meet the affected source definition specified for a source category that is regulated by another subpart under 40 CFR Part 63 (another MACT standard). Remediation activities at gas stations and remediation activities performed under the authority of CERCLA or RCRA are exempt from this subpart. For projects not co-located at a major stationary source, this subpart is not applicable.

BACT Determination Soil Remediation - SVE May 3, 2017 Page 3

ARB

BACT:

Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse

For Soli	id Vapor Extraction
VOC	N/A – No BACT determinations found
NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
СО	N/A – No BACT determinations found

<u>T-BACT:</u>
There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

ARB Airborne Toxic Control Measures (ATCM):

There are currently no ATCMs that apply to this source category.

SMAQMD

BACT:

Source: SMAQMD BACT Clearinghouse, BACT Determination No. 89

For Soil Vapor Extraction with VOC <10 lb/day (11/17/2014)

VOC

- 1. Catalytic Oxidizers
- 2. Thermal Oxidizers
- 3. Carbon Adsorption
- 4. IC Engines

Each subject to the following VOC control efficiencies and maximum emission limit:

For VOC Concentration at Influent of Control Device (ppmv):	For VOC Concentration at Effluent of Control Device (ppmv):	Required VOC Control Efficiency	Maximum Effluent VOC Daily Limit
N/A	≤10 ppmv	None	
≥2,000 ppmv	N/A	≥98.5%	9.9 lb/day
≥200 ppmv to <2,000 ppmv	N/A	<u>≥</u> 97%	(A)
<200 ppmv	N/A	≥90%	

- (A) The 9.9 lb/day VOC emission limit was a carry-over of the pre-2011 amendment to Rule 201 New Source Review (NSR) emission limit (which kept emissions below the 10 lb/day BACT trigger). After the 2011 NSR amendment, the following reasonable daily VOC limits were considered:
 - For SCAQMD, site-specific daily VOC limits were established using initial test data and applying the applicant-provided APC control efficiency.
 - For BAAQMD, a daily VOC limit was not established and instead relied on their BACT (tiered VOC control efficiency based on influent concentrations, unless effluent concentrations are ≤10 ppmv).
 - For SMAQMD, at a maximum, an applicant could propose a daily limit below the facility wide offset trigger (<4,999 lb/day).
 - For SMAQMD, at a minimum, an applicant could propose an arbitrary daily limit that
 may reflect the maximum concentrations during the initial test, which may then be
 exceeded if/when concentrations fluctuate during the course of site remediation. An
 applicant-proposed daily limit was also discussed as being unfair and nonstandardized.
 - For SMAQMD, based on Field Operations' past experience, exceedances of the 9.9 lb/day limit occurred when equipment malfunctioned (rather than due to "hot spots" of VOC contamination).

Ultimately, it was decided that the previous 9.9 lb/day VOC emission limit was the most reasonable limit at the time and should continue to be used as the daily limit.

NOX
SOx
PM10

<u>For thermal oxidizers:</u> either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices (as achieved in practice).

PM2.5

For IC engines: LPG as an auxiliary fuel and a 3-way catalytic converter (as achieved in practice).

SMAQMD (continued)

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category. From past permitting policy (refer to <u>SMAQMD Soil and Water Remediation Manual (12/18/2013)</u>), T-BACT was considered similar to BACT since the TACs of concern (typically benzene, MtBE, and/or trichloroethylene (TCE)) are VOCs. Therefore, control of VOCs through meeting the BACT standard will also control the TACs that are VOCs, and will be considered equivalent to meeting T-BACT requirements.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

There are currently no category-specific prohibitory series 400 rules that apply to soil remediation.

South Coast AQMD

BACT:

Source: SCAQMD BACT Guidelines for Non-Major Polluting Facilities (Revised December 2, 2016)

Note: SCAQMD does not have a published BACT for non-major polluting facilities which complies with Rule 1147.

For Soil	Remediation	
VOC	N/A – No BACT determinations found	
NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found	
SOx	N/A - No BACT determinations found	
PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found	
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found	,
CO	N/A – No BACT determinations found	

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Regulation XI, Rule 1147 - NOx Reductions from Miscellaneous Sources (amended 9/9/2011)

This rule applies to vapor incinerators, catalytic or thermal oxidizers, soil and water remediation units, and other combustion equipment with NOx emissions (except internal combustion engines subject to District Rule 1110.2 – Emissions from Gaseous- and Liquid-Fueled Engines) that require a District permit and are not specifically required to comply with a NOx emission limit by other District Regulation XI rules.

South Coast AQMD (continued)

	NC	x Emission L	imit	
Category	Prod	cess Tempera	iture	Unit Shall
	≤800 °F	>800 °F and <1200 °F	≥1200 °F	be in Compliance
Gaseous Fuel-Fired Equipmen	t (A)(B)(C)			
In-Use remediation unit manufactured prior to 1998	30 ppm or 0.036	60 ppm or	60 ppm or	Upon combustion modification or change of location beginning March 1, 2012
Any In-Use unit manufactured after 1997	lb/mmBTU	0.072 lb/mmBTU	0.072 lb/mmBTU	July 1 of the year the unit is 15 years old
New remediation unit installed after January 1, 2010				At the time a District permit is required
Liquid Fuel-Fired Equipment				
In-Use remediation unit manufactured prior to 1998	40 ppm or	40 ppm or	60 ppm or	Upon combustion modification or change of location beginning March 1, 2012
Any In-Use unit manufactured after 1997	0.053 lb/mmBTU	0.053 lb/mmBTU	0.080 lb/mmBTU	July 1 of the year the unit is 15 years old
New remediation unit installed after January 1, 2010				At the time a District permit is required

(A) Emission limit applies to burners in units fueled by 100% natural gas that are used to incinerate air toxics, VOCs, or other vapors; or to heat a unit. The emission limit applies solely when burning 100% fuel and not when the burner is incinerating air toxics, VOCs, or other vapors. The unit shall be tested or certified to meet the emission limit while fueled with natural gas.

(B) Exemption for Mixing Fuel with Air Toxics, VOCs, or Other Vapors Prior to Incineration: As per Section (g)(3)(E), a remediation unit in which a fuel, including but not limited to natural gas, propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), is mixed with air toxics, VOCs, landfill gas, digester gas or other combustible vapors prior to incineration in the unit, in order to maintain vapor concentration above the upper explosion limit or above a manufacturer specified limit in order to maintain combustion or temperature in the unit is not subject to the provisions of this rule. This exemption does not apply to a burner with a separate fuel line used to heat up or maintain temperature of a unit or incinerate air toxics, VOCs or other combustible vapors in a gas stream moving past the burner flame.

(C) Exemption for Propane, Butane or Liquefied Petroleum Gas Where Natural Gas is Not Available: As per Section (g)(7), remediation units are exempt from the applicable emission limit in Table 1 while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

South Coast AQMD (continued)

Regulation XI, Rule 1166 - Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Decontamination of Soil (amended 5/11/2001)

This rule limits the VOC emissions from excavating, grading, handling, and treating VOC-contaminated soil as a result of leakage from storage or transfer operations, accidental spillage, or other deposition. Since this rule applies to the ex-situ ("out of place") remediation of soil which has been excavated from the contamination site, this rule does not apply to this source category of in-situ remediation.

San Diego County APCD

BACT:

Source: NSR Requirements for BACT Guidelines (June 2011)

For Soil	Remediation
VOC	N/A – No BACT determinations found
NOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM10	N/A - No BACT determinations found
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
CO	N/A – No BACT determinations found

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

There are currently no category-specific Regulation IV rules that apply to soil remediation.

Bay Area AQMD

BACT:

Source: BAAQMD BACT Guideline

For Soil	Vapor Extraction BACT #151A.1 (6/16/1995)
VOC	Achieved in Practice:
	≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device; or ≥98.5% capture/destruction efficiency if
	inlet VOC ≥2000 ppmv; or ≥97% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC >200 to
	<2000 ppmv; or ≥90% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC <200 ppmv.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	<u>Typical Technology:</u>
	Two or more activated carbon canisters in series or thermal oxidizer or catalytic oxidizer.
NOx	No standard
SOx	No standard
PM10	No standard
PM2.5	No standard
CO	No standard

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

Bay Area AQMD (continued)

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Regulation 8, Rule 47 – Air Stripping and Soil Vapor Extraction Operations (amended June 15, 2005)

This rule limits the VOC emissions from soil vapor extraction systems which either:

- 1. Emit more than one of the following compounds in excess of: 0.05 lb/day of benzene, 0.2 lb/day of vinyl chloride, 0.5 lb/day of trichloroethylene, 0.5 lb/day of perchloroethylene, or 0.5 lb/day of methylene chloride, or
- 2. Emit a total of greater than or equal to 1 lb/day of benzene, vinyl chloride, perchloroethylene, methylene chloride, and/or trichloroethylene.

For systems subject as described above, Section 8-47-301 requires any soil vapor extraction operations which emit benzene, vinyl chloride, perchloroethylene, methylene chloride, and/or trichloroethylene to be vented to a control device which reduces emissions to the atmosphere by at least 90% by weight.

For systems with total organic compound emissions greater than 15 lb/day, Section 8-47-302 requires operations to be vented to a control device which reduces total organic compound emissions by at least 90% by weight.

San Joaquin Valley APCD

BACT:

Source: <u>SJVAPCD BACT Clearinghouse (Searchable)</u>

For BACT Guideline 2.1.1 A: Soil Remediation Operation Utilizing Thermal and Catalytic Oxidizers (12/7/1992)

VOC 95% or greater control efficiency for emissions over 2 lb/day, thermal oxidizer @ 1400 °F and 0.5 sec OR catalytic oxidizer @ 600 °F and 0.5 sec.

NOx N/A – No BACT determinations found

SOX N/A – No BACT determinations found

PM10 N/A – No BACT determinations found

PM2.5 N/A – No BACT determinations found

ONA – No BACT determinations found

For BAC	CT Guideline 2.1.2: Soil Remediation Operation – I.C. Engine (6/18/1992)
VOC	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter at 95% control
NOx	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter
SOx	LPG auxiliary fuel
PM10	LPG auxiliary fuel
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
CO	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter

San Joaquin Valley APCD (continued)

For BAC	CT Guideline 2.1.3: Soil Remediation Operation – Carbon Adsorption (9/15/1993)
VOC	95% control efficiency for uncontrolled emissions over 2 lb/day
NOx	N/A - No BACT determinations found
SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
CO	N/A – No BACT determinations found

For BAC	CT Guideline 2.1.4: Extracted Soil Remediation Using Steam Stripping/Flushing and
4-Stage	Carbon Adsorption, > or = 40 tons/hour (11/21/1995)
VOC	95% control efficiency
NOx	N/A - No BACT determinations found
SOx	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
CO	N/A – No BACT determinations found

For BAC	CT Guideline 2.1.6: Soil Remediation Operation – Using a Boiler = or <4.2 mmBTU/hr
to Injec	t Steam into the Soil to Drive Off Air Contaminants and Controlled by Activated
Polyme	r/Carbon Canisters (10/20/1995)
VOC	For soil remediation: Activated polymer/carbon canisters with 95% control
NOx	For boiler: 0.036 lb/mmBTU (30 ppmv) when natural gas firing and 0.048
	Ib/mmBTU (40 ppmv) when firing diesel backup fuel
SOx	For boiler: Natural gas with LPG backup
PM10	N/A – No BACT determinations found
PM2.5	N/A – No BACT determinations found
СО	N/A – No BACT determinations found

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Rule 4651 - Soil Decontamination Operations (amended 9/20/2007)

This rule limits VOC emissions from soil that has been contaminated with a VOC/-containing liquid and applies to operations involved in the excavation, transportation, handling, decontamination, and disposal of contaminated soil. Since this rule applies to the ex-situ ("out of place") remediation of soil which has been excavated from the contamination site, this rule does not apply to this source category of in-situ remediation.

Amador County APCD

BACT:

Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse

There are no BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Rule 903 - Contaminated Soil Remediation (amended 6/28/1994)

This rule limits the VOC emissions from organic chemical- or petroleum chemical-contaminated soil when: excavated; aerated without a control device; aerated with a control device; bioremediated (by soil washing, thermal incineration, or other remediation proposals); or stored in piles. Since this rule applies to the ex-situ ("out of place") remediation of soil which has been excavated from the contamination site, this rule does not apply to this source category of insitu remediation.

Butte County AQMD

BACT:

Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse

There are no BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Rule 237 – Soil Decontamination (amended 8/22/2002)

This rule limits the VOC emissions from soil excavation and remediation, or treatment of soil contaminated by VOCs, and applies to the excavation, aeration, or treatment of soils contaminated by VOCs.

Section 5.3 requires the treatment of contaminated soil (as defined in Section 4.4 to include gasoline, diesel fuel, jet fuel, or other hydrocarbon that may be harmful to the public, as determined by the APCO) to be accomplished by:

- 1. Installation and operation of a VOC collection and control system for in-situ treatment of contaminated soil;
- 2. Installation and operation of a VOC collection and control system for on-site treatment of contaminated soil; or
- 3. Installation of any alternative VOC control technology, which provides an equivalent or greater level of control and as approved by the APCO on a case-by-case basis.

Ventura County APCD

BACT:

Source: ARB BACT Clearinghouse

There are no BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

T-BACT:

There are no T-BACT standards published in the clearinghouse for this category.

RULE REQUIREMENTS:

Rule 74.29 - Soil Decontamination Operations (effective 7/1/2008)

As per Section B.3, this rule applies to soils that contain gasoline, diesel fuel, or jet fuel, and limits the VOC emissions from soil vapor extraction, bioremediation, or bioventing operations to:

- 1. 100 ppmv VOC (measured as methane, tested according to an organic vapor analyzer certified according to the requirements of EPA Method 21, and sampled by inserting the probe inlet of the analyzer on the centerline of the exhaust or vent, upstream of the point where the exhaust gases meet the atmosphere), and
- 2. Require a health risk assessment if the total system flow rate is greater than 300 scfm and the system would emit VOC at a rate greater than 0.08 lb/hour.

Assuming a maximum rated flow rate of 300 scfm and using the equation in Section F.2.: 0.08 lb/hr VOC = $(0.08 \text{ lb/hour*}387 \text{ scf/lb-mol*}10^6)/(300 \text{ scfm*}16 \text{ lb/lb-mol*}60 \text{ min/hour}) = 107.5 \text{ ppm VOC}$

As per Section D, for any soil decontamination project, recordkeeping shall be required for at least two years after initial entry and shall include:

- All dates that soil was disturbed and the quantity of soil disturbed on each date
- Reasons for excavation or grading
- Cause of VOC soil contamination and history of the site
- Description of tanks or piping associated with the soil decontamination
- Description of mitigation measures employed for dust, odors and VOC emissions
- Details of treatment and/or disposal of VOC contaminated soil, including the ultimate receptor
- Description of monitoring equipment and techniques
- All VOC emission measurements shall be recorded on a continuous permanent stripchart or in a format approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer
- A map showing the facility layout, property line, and surrounding area up to 2500 feet away, and including any schools, residential areas or other sensitive receptors such as hospitals or locations where children or elderly people live or work.

The following control technologies have been identified <u>for soil vapor extraction systems</u> and are ranked based on stringency (according to the required % VOC control efficiency or ppmv concentration) in **bold**:

RANI	KING OF TECHNOLOGIE	S ACHIEVED -	SOIL VAP	OR EXTRAC	TION (SVE)
Pollutant	Standard				Source
VOC	For SVE systems with VC 1. Catalytic Oxidizers 2. Thermal Oxidizers 3. Carbon Adsorption 4. IC Engines	OC <10 lb/day ar	nd controlle	d by:	SMAQMD BACT No. 89
	Each subject to the fol maximum emission limit:	lowing VOC co	ontrol effic	iencies and	
	For VOC Concentration at Influent of Control Device (ppmv):	For VOC Concentration at Effluent of Control Device (ppmv):	Required VOC Control Efficiency	Maximum Effluent VOC Daily Limit	:
	N/A	<u>≤</u> 10 ppmv	None		
	≥2,000 ppmv	N/A	<u>≥</u> 98.5%	9.9 lb/day	
	≥200 ppmv to <2,000 ppmv	N/A	≥97%	0.0 18, 46, 7	
	<200 ppmv	N/A	<u>≥</u> 90%		
	≤10 ppmv at outlet capture/destruction efficie capture/destruction efficie or ≥90% capture/destruction	ncy if inlet VOC ncy if inlet VOC	≥2000 ppn > 200 to <	2000 ppmv;	BAAQMD BACT #151A.1
	95% or greater control ef over 2 lb/day.	•			SJVAPCD BACT #2.1.1 A & BACT #2.1.6
	For systems that emit ≥ 0.0 chloride, 0.5 lb/day of to perchloroethylene (PCE), total of 1 lb/day of benz chloride, and/or TCE: ven emissions to the atmosphere.	ichloroethylene 0.5 lb/day of m zene, vinyl chlo ted to a control	(TCE), 0. ethylene ch oride, PCE, device wh	5 lb/day of nloride, or a methylene ich reduces	BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 47
	For systems with total organisms 15 lb/day: vented to a concompound emissions by a	trol device which	h reduces t		
	90% control efficiency; 55	tons/year emiss	sion limit		EPA <u>AZ-0031</u>
	100 ppmv VOC (as massessment if the total system would emit	stem flow rate is	greater tha	an 300 scfm	Ventura County APCD Rule 74.29

Then, <u>based on the specific control device used</u>, the following **additional** control technologies have been identified and are ranked based on stringency:

RAN	IKING OF TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED – IC ENGINE CONTROL	LING SVE
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	SJVAPCD
	- and -	BACT #2.1.2
	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter at 95% control	
NOx	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3-way catalytic converter	SJVAPCD
		BACT #2.1.2
SOx	LPG auxiliary fuel and a 3-way catalytic converter	SMAQMD
		BACT No. 89
•		and SJVAPCD
		BACT #2.1.2
PM10	LPG auxiliary fuel and a 3-way catalytic converter	SMAQMD
		BACT No. 89
		and SJVAPCD
		BACT #2.1.2
PM2.5	LPG auxiliary fuel and a 3-way catalytic converter	SMAQMD
		BACT No. 89
CO	LPG auxiliary fuel and a 3-way catalytic converter	SMAQMD
		BACT No. 89
		and SJVAPCD
		BACT #2.1.2

RANKING	OF TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED - THERMAL OXIDIZER CON	TROLLING SVE
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	SJVAPCD
	- and -	BACT #2.1.1
	Thermal oxidizer @ 1400 °F and 0.5 second retention time	
NOx	Burners ¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel:	SCAQMD
	No standard	Regulation XI,
	Burners ¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane ² :	Rule 1147
	1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F.	
	2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F.	
	Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel:	
	1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O ₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F.	
	2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.	
ſ	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD
	<u> </u>	BACT No. 89
SOx	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD
PM10	·	BACT No. 89
PM2.5		
СО		

¹ NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the thermal oxidizer bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

OF TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED - CATALYTIC OXIDIZER COM	TROLLING SVE
Standard	Source
(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	SJVAPCD
Catalytic oxidizer @ 600 °F and 0.5 second retention time	BACT #2.1.1 A
Burners ¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel: No standard	SCAQMD Regulation XI,
Burners¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane²: 1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F.	Rule 1147
Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel: 1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.	
No standard	
	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and - Catalytic oxidizer @ 600 °F and 0.5 second retention time Burners¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel: No standard Burners¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane²: 1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F. Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel: 1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F. No standard No standard No standard

¹ NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the catalyst bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	
NOx	No standard	
SOx	No standard	
PM10	No standard	
PM2.5	No standard	
СО	No standard	

<u>Discussion on Achieved in Practice Control Technologies:</u>

Although all control technologies are equally effective at controlling VOCs, the site-specific conditions and physical properties of the contaminants of concern directly influence the selection of the treatment technology and the overall treatment strategy. Based on the above review, SMAQMD has identified BACT as the use of IC engines, thermal oxidizers, catalytic oxidizers, or carbon adsorption systems to attain set VOC destruction efficiencies corresponding to set influent VOC concentration values.

BACT Determination Soil Remediation.- SVE May 3, 2017 Page 15

Below is a brief description of the various types of SVE control technologies identified (as described in <u>USEPA Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice, March 2006</u>):

- 1. IC Engines involves mixing extracted contaminated (typically gasoline) vapors in the carburetor of the engine with air and, if necessary, auxiliary fuel (such as LPG or natural gas), and then combusted normally in the engine. This thermal treatment technology is most effective at controlling high-concentration VOC vapors and is primarily used in the initial stages of an SVE project and for tank degassing operations. Chlorinated VOC compounds are not normally treated in engines unless they are comingled with petroleum VOCs.
- 2. Thermal Oxidizers using one or more LPG- or natural gas-fired burners, destroys contaminants at a sufficiently high temperature (1200 to 2000 °F) to promote oxidation (or combustion) of contaminants to carbon dioxide and water. The VOCs in the extracted vapors fuel the oxidation reaction, unless concentrations are too low (in which auxiliary fuel such as LPG or natural gas must be added) or too high (in which dilution air must be added). This thermal treatment technology is able to treat a broad range of contaminants at a wide range of concentrations (including non-halogenated VOCs, semivolatile organic compounds, fuel hydrocarbons, alcohols, aliphatics, aromatics, esters, and ketones). However, treatment of halogenated or chlorinated compounds (including perchloroethylene (PCE) or trichloroethylene (TCE)) may generate dioxins and furans or hydrochloric acid, which may require further treatment (such as carbon adsorption or acid scrubbers).
- 3. Catalytic Oxidizers using an electric-powered or a LPG- or natural gas-fired burner alongside a catalyst (typically platinum, palladium, or rubidium deposited on an aluminum oxide-coated ceramic or stainless steel substrate), creates an exothermic combustion reaction to oxidize contaminants. The addition of the catalyst accelerates the rate of oxidation and allows it to occur at lower temperatures (500 to 900 °F) than required by thermal oxidizers. As with thermal oxidizers, treatment of halogenated or chlorinated compounds (including perchloroethylene (PCE) or trichloroethylene (TCE)) may generate dioxins and furans or hydrochloric acid, which may require further treatment (such as carbon adsorption or acid scrubbers).
- 4. Carbon Adsorption captures and removes contaminants through physical adsorption using a medium or matrix (including granular activated carbon, zeolite, and synthetic polymers). Using a blower or vacuum pumps, extracted vapors are either pushed or sucked through the matrix and contaminants are collected on the surface of the adsorbent medium until the medium is saturated. Most adsorption systems consist of one or more canisters connected in series or parallel to prevent breakthrough.

Therefore, the following control technologies have been identified <u>for soil vapor extraction</u> <u>systems</u> as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

Pollutant	Standard				Source
VOC	For soil vapor extraction secontrolled by: 1. Catalytic Oxidizers 2. Thermal Oxidizers 3. Carbon Adsorption 4. IC Engines Each subject to the folymaximum emission limit: For VOC Concentration at Influent of Control Device (ppmv):	lowing VOC co	ontrol effic Required VOC	iencies and	SMAQMD BACT No. 89
	N/A	(ppmv):	Efficiency	Limit	
		≤10 ppmv	None		
	≥2,000 ppmv	N/A	<u>≥</u> 98.5%	9.9 lb/day	
	≥200 ppmv to <2,000 ppmv	N/A	≥97%	o.o ibrady	
	<200 ppmv	N/A	>90%		

Then, <u>based on the specific control device used</u>, the following **additional** control technologies have been identified as the most stringent, achieved in practice control technologies:

Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and -	
	To be determined under separate engine-specific BACT upon application.	
NOx	To be determined under separate engine-specific BACT upon	
SOx	application.	
PM10		
PM2.5		
СО	•	

BEST CON	ITROL TECHNOLOGIES ACHIEVED – THERMAL OXIDIZER COM	NTROLLING SVE
Pollutant	Standard	Source
voc	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and - Thermal oxidizer @ 1400 °F and 0.5 second retention time	SJVAPCD BACT #2.1.1
NOx	Burners¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel: No standard Burners¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane²: 1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F. Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel: 1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.	SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1147
	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89
SOx	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD
PM10		BACT No. 89
PM2.5		
СО		

¹ NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the thermal oxidizer bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and - Catalytic oxidizer @ 600 °F and 0.5 second retention time	SJVAPCD BACT #2.1.1 A
NOx	Burners¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel: No standard Burners¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane²: 1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F.	SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1147
	Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel: 1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.	
SOx	No standard	
PM10	No standard	
PM2.5	No standard	10-11
CO	No standard	-

¹ NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the catalyst bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

Pollutant	Standard	Source
voc	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	
NOx	No standard	
SOx	No standard	
PM10	No standard	
PM2.5	No standard	
СО	No standard	

B. TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND COST EFFECTIVE (Rule 202, §205.1.b.):

<u>Technologically Feasible Alternatives:</u>

Any alternative basic equipment, fuel, process, emission control device or technique, singly or in combination, determined to be technologically feasible by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

The table below shows the technologically feasible alternatives identified as capable of reducing emissions beyond the levels determined to be "Achieved in Practice" as per Rule 202, §205.1.a:

	TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVES			
Pollutant	Technologically Feasible Alternatives	Source		
VOC	For soil vapor extraction: ≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device; or ≥98.5% capture/destruction efficiency.	BAAQMD BACT #151A.1		
	Typical Technology: Two or more activated carbon canisters in series or thermal oxidizer or catalytic oxidizer.			
NOx	For soil vapor extraction controlled by thermal oxidizer: 1. Natural gas with low NOx burner 2. Water injection (valid only for vapor generation units)	SJVAPCD BACT #2.1.1		
	Alternate Basic Equipment: Carbon adsorption – as an alternative for VOC control (not valid for vapor generation units¹)			
SOx	No other technologically feasible option identified			
PM10	No other technologically feasible option identified			
PM2.5	No other technologically feasible option identified			
СО	No other technologically feasible option identified			
//		L		

[&]quot;Vapor generation units" are assumed to include ozone injection or air sparging systems, which historically have not been subject to SMAQMD permitting since it is usually operated in conjunction with a soil vapor extraction system and is vented to and controlled by the same air pollution control device.

BACT Determination Soil Remediation - SVE May 3, 2017 Page 19

Discussion on Technologically Feasible Alternatives:

SVE ≤ 10 ppmv VOC at Outlet of Control Device or ≥ 98.5% Capture/Destruction Efficiency:

The ≤ 10 ppmv VOC limit at the outlet of a control device or ≥ 98.5% capture/destruction efficiency using two or more activated carbon canisters in series or thermal oxidizer or catalytic oxidizer is already required as part of the achieved in practice BACT for VOC [BAAQMD].

However, the achieved in practice BACT is defined as attainment of set VOC destruction efficiencies corresponding to set influent VOC concentration values. This allows for a <u>tiered</u> approach rather than a <u>single</u> VOC concentration limit or control efficiency, and which takes into account the physical and chemical difficulties of:

- achieving higher capture/destruction efficiencies as inlet VOC concentrations decrease (i.e., requiring smaller and smaller effluent concentrations (which may fall under a laboratory's detection limit) to achieve the required destruction efficiency)
- the fact that SVEs "do not have consistent influent VOC concentrations over time" (as described on page 2-5, <u>USEPA Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice, March 2006</u>)
- the variability of influent VOC concentrations in order to maintain a safe lower explosive level (LEL) range to prevent explosive SVE air streams; generally, influent concentrations are limited 10 to 25% of the LEL (defined as the minimum concentration of a chemical vapor in atmospheric air that is sufficient to support combustion), and the desired LEL concentration can be obtained by diluting the SVE influent with ambient air ("dilution air") (as described on pages 2-7 and 3-9, <u>USEPA Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice, March 2006</u>)
- the "likelihood that influent VOC concentrations to the thermal treatment system will decrease over time, thereby affecting both cost to operate and achievable DREs (destruction and removal efficiencies), is an important consideration for SVE off-gas application selection. This consideration must be accounted for in the engineering and economic analysis" (as described on page 3-10, <u>USEPA Off-Gas Treatment Technologies for Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: State of the Practice, March 2006</u>).

Therefore, this BACT limitation is not considered technologically feasible without the <u>tiered</u> approach to the VOC capture/destruction efficiency and will not be considered as a technologically feasible BACT.

SVE Controlled by Thermal Oxidizer Using Natural Gas with Low NOx Burner:

Thermal oxidizers using natural gas with low NOx burners have already been deemed as achieved in practice BACT for NOx [SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1147]. Furthermore, SJVAPCD BACT Guideline 2.1.1: Soil Remediation Operation — Thermal Oxidizer (3/17/1997) did not indicate a specific NOx concentration as a technologically feasible standard for a low NOx burner.

Cost Effective Determination:

Since the technologically feasible alternative to use a thermal oxidizer using natural gas with a low NOx burner has already been found to be achieved in practice by SCAQMD, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

C. SELECTION OF BACT:

BACT for the control of VOC emissions from Soil Remediation – Soil Vapor Extraction is the use of IC engines, thermal oxidizers, catalytic oxidizers, or carbon adsorption systems to attain set VOC destruction efficiencies corresponding to set influent VOC concentration values.

BACT Determination Soil Remediation - SVE May 3, 2017 Page 20

Based on the above analysis, BACT for VOC, NOx, SOx, PM10, and CO will remain at what is currently achieved in practice and BACT for PM2.5 will be set to be the same as for PM10.

	BACT FOR SOIL REMED FOR PROJEC	IATION – SOIL CTS EMITTING	VAPOR EX	XTRACTION Y VOC	(SVE)
Pollutant	Standard				Source
VOC	For soil vapor extraction sontrolled by: 1. Catalytic Oxidizers 2. Thermal Oxidizers 3. Carbon Adsorption 4. IC Engines Each subject to the fol maximum emission limit: For VOC Concentration at Influent of Control Device (ppmv):	lowing VOC co	Required VOC Control Efficiency	iencies and	SMAQMD BACT No. 89
	N/A	<u>≤</u> 10 ppmv	None		
	≥2,000 ppmv	N/A	≥98.5%	9.9 lb/day	
	≥200 ppmv to <2,000 ppmv	N/A	<u>≥</u> 97%	3.3 ib/day	
	<200 ppmv	N/A	≥90%		

Then, <u>based on the specific control device used</u>, the following control technologies have been identified as BACT:

ВА	CT FOR SOIL REMEDIATION – IC ENGINE CONTROLLING S PROJECTS EMITTING <10 LB/DAY	SVE FOR
Pollutant	Standard	Source
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and -	
	To be determined under separate engine-specific BACT upon application.	
NOx	To be determined under separate engine-specific BACT upon	
SOx	application.	
PM10		
PM2.5		
СО		

ВА	BACT FOR SOIL REMDIATION – THERMAL OXIDIZER CONTROLLING SVE FOR PROJECTS EMITTING <10 LB/DAY VOC			
Pollutant	Standard	Source		
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above) - and - Thermal oxidizer @ 1400 °F and 0.5 second retention time	SJVAPCD BACT #2.1.1		
NOx	Burners¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel: No standard Burners¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane²: 1. 30 ppm NOx at 3% O_2 for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O_2 for process temperatures of > 800 °F. Burners¹ fired on liquid fuel: 1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O_2 for process temperatures < 1200 °F. 2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O_2 for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.	SCAQMD Regulation XI, Rule 1147		
	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89		
SOx	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89		
PM10	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89		
PM2.5	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89		
СО	Either natural gas or propane and good combustion practices	SMAQMD BACT No. 89		

NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the thermal oxidizer bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

BAC	BACT FOR SOIL REMDIATION – CATALYTIC OXIDIZER CONTROLLING SVE FOR PROJECTS EMITTING <10 LB/DAY VOC			
Pollutant	Standard	Source		
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)	SJVAPCD		
	- and -	BACT #2.1.1 A		
	Catalytic oxidizer @ 600 °F and 0.5 second retention time			
NOx	Burners ¹ fired on mixture of process gas and supplemental fuel:	SCAQMD		
	No standard	Regulation XI,		
	Burners ¹ fired on 100% natural gas or propane ² :	Rule 1147		
	 30 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures ≤ 800 °F. 			
	2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O ₂ for process temperatures of > 800 °F.			
	Burners ¹ fired on liquid fuel:			
	1. 40 ppm NOx at 3% O₂ for process temperatures < 1200 °F.			
	2. 60 ppm NOx @ 3% O₂ for process temperatures of ≥ 1200 °F.			
SOx	No standard			
PM10	No standard			
PM2.5	No standard			
СО	No standard			

¹ NOx limit only applicable to burners that heat up the catalyst bed.

² Remediation units are exempt from this emission limit while fueled with propane, butane or liquefied petroleum gas in a location where natural gas is not available. Remediation units must comply with the emission limit when natural gas is available and while fueled with natural gas.

BAC	BACT FOR SOIL REMDIATION – CARBON ADSORPTION CONTROLLING SVE FOR PROJECTS EMITTING <10 LB/DAY VOC			
Pollutant	Standard	Source		
VOC	(see VOC standard under Soil Vapor Extraction BACT above)			
NOx	No standard			
SOx	No standard			
PM10	No standard			
PM2.5	No standard			
СО	No standard			

D. SELECTION OF T-BACT:

The toxics at issue with this technology are VOCs. The control of VOCs through meeting the BACT standard will also control toxics found in the VOCs. Therefore, the BACT VOC controls are also the T-BACT controls.

For Chlorinated Compounds (T-BACT):

Based on the concerns identified above about generating dioxins and furans or hydrochloric acid from the thermal treatment (i.e., IC engines, thermal oxidizers, or catalytic oxidizers) of chlorinated compounds (including perchloroethylene (PCE) or trichloroethylene (TCE)), further treatment (such as carbon adsorption or acid scrubbers) will be required as T-BACT.

REVIEWED BY:	Ga I Kul	DATE:	5-8-17
APPROVED BY:	Jorge Me Cays	_ DATE:	5/25/17

Attachment A

Review of BACT Determinations published by Other Agencies

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline

Source Category

Source:	Soil Vapor Extraction	Revision:	3
3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		Document #:	151A.1
Class:	Aii	Date:	06/16/95

etermination

POLLUTANT	BACT 1. Technologically Feasible/ Cost Effective 2. Achieved in Practice	TYPICAL TECHNOLOGY
POC	1 ≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device, or ≥98.5% capture/destruction efficiency. AT 2 ≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device, or ≥98.5% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC ≥2000 ppmv; or ≥97% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC ≥200 to <2000 ppmv; or ≥90% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC ≥200 to <2000 ppmv; or ≥90% capture/destruction efficiency if inlet VOC <200 ppmv.	1. Two or More Activated Carbon Canister in Series or Thermal Oxidizer ^{a.T} 2. Two or More Activated Carbon Canisters in Series or Thermal Oxidizer or Catalytic Oxidizer ^{a.T}
NOx	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	1 n'a 2 n'a
SO ₂	1. n'a 2. n'a	1 n/a 2. n/a
60	1. n/a 2. n/a	1 n'a 2. n'a
PM ₁₀	1 na 2 n/a	1 n/a 2 n/a
NPOC	1. ≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device ^{a,T} 2. ≤10 ppmv at outlet of control device, or ≥95% capture/recovery efficiency ^{a,T}	1. Two or More Activated Carbon Canisters in Series ^{a I} 2. Two ar More Activated Carbon Canisters in Series ^{a I}

References

The second secon			
a BAAOMD			
T. TBACT			
Control Court on the Control Court of the Control Court of the Control Court of the		Strangerstones compromercan and all locket	

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.1*

Last Update 3/17/1997

Soil Remediation Operation - Thermal Oxidizer

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
NOx		Natural gas with low NOx burner Water injection (valid only for vapor generation units)	Carbon adsorption - as an alternative for VOC control (not valid for vapor generation units)
voc	Thermal Oxidizer @ 1400 F and 0.5 sec		
	OR		
	Catalytic Oxidizer @ 600 F and 0.5 sec both at 95% or greater control efficiency		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a satate implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.1 B

Emissions

Soil Remediation Utilizing Thermal

Unit:

Oxidizers

Equipment Rating:

2.0 MMBtu/hr

Facility:

Applied Vapor Technology Inc.

References:

PTO #: S-2986-2-0 Project #:

961120

Location:

Various Locations - SJVUAPCD

Date of

Determination:

3/17/1997

Pollutant

BACT

CO

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

NOx

No Achieved in Practice controls found

PM10

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

SOx

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

VOC

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

BACT Status

Comment

Small Emitter

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.1 A

Emissions

Soil Remediation Utilizing Thermal and

Unit:

Catalytic Oxidizers

Equipment Rating:

N/A

Facility:

ARCO Products Company

References:

Determination:

PTO #: N-1676-

1-1

Location:

Turlock

Date of

12/7/1992

Pollutant

BACT

CO

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

NOx

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

PM10

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

SOx

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

VOC

95% or greater control efficiency for emissions over 2 lb/day, Thermal Oxidizer @ 1400oF and

0.5 sec retention time and Catalytic Oxidizer @ 600oF and 0.5 sec retention time

BACT Status

Comment

Achieved in Practice



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Technology Transfer Network Clean Air Technology Center -RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse

Recent Additions | Contact Us

Search: OAll EPA ® This Area

You are here: EPA Home * Air & Radiation * TTRWeb - Technology Transfer Network * Clean Air Technology Center * RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse * RBLC Basic Search * RBLC Search Results * Process Information - Details

Versión en Españo

Process Information - Details

For information about the pollutants related to this process, click on the specific pollutant in the list below.

RBLC Home New Search | Search Results

Facility Information

Process List

Process Information

Help

FINAL

RBLC ID: AZ-0031

Corporate/Company: THERMAL EARTH SOLUTION Facility Name: THERMAL EARTH SOLUTION Process: SOIL REMEDIATION UNIT

Primary Fuel:

Throughput: 20.00 TON/HR

Process Code: 29.100

Pollutant Information - List of Pollutants

Help

Pollutant

Primary **Emission Limit**

Basis Verified

<u>Volatile Organic</u> Compounds (VOC)

55.0000 T/YR

BACT-PSD

NO

Process Notes:

EPA Home | Privacy and Security Notice | Contact Us

https://cfpub.epa.gov/rblc/index.cfm?action=PermitDetail.ProcessInfo&facility_id=3697&PROCESS_ID=1 Print As-Is

Last updated on 11/3/2016

Pollutant Information

Click on the Process Information button to see more information about the process associated with this pollutant.

Or click on the Process List button to return to the list of processes.

RBLC Home

New Search

Search Results

Facility Information

Process List

Process Information | Pollutant Information

Help

FINAL

RBLC ID: AZ-0031

Corporate/Company: THERMAL EARTH SOLUTION Facility Name: THERMAL EARTH SOLUTION Process: SOIL REMEDIATION UNIT

Pollutant: Volatile Organic Compounds

(VOC)

CAS Number: VOC

Pollutant Group(s): Volatile Organic Compounds

(VOC),

Substance Registry System: Volatile Organic Com

Pollution Prevention/Add-on Control Equipment/Both/No Controls Feasible:

P2/Add-on Description: THERMAL OXIDIZER

Test Method:

Unspecified

EPA/DAR Methods

All Other Methods

Percent Efficiency:

90.000

Compliance Verified:

EMISSION LIMITS:

Case-by-Case Basis:

BACT-PSD

Other Applicable Requirements:

Other Factors Influence Decision:

Emission Limit 1:

55.0000 T/YR

Emission Limit 2:

Standard Emission Limit:

COST DATA:

Cost Verified?

Dollar Year Used in Cost Estimates:

Cost Effectiveness:

0 \$/ton

Incremental Cost Effectiveness:

0 \$/ton

Pollutant Notes:

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.2*

Last Update 6/18/1992

Soil Remediation Operation - I.C. Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
co	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter	Natural gas or LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter	
NOx	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter	Natural gas or LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter	
PM10	LPG auxiliary fuel	Natural gas or LPG auxiliary fuel	
SOx	LPG auxiliary fuel	Natural gas or LPG auxiliary fuel	
voc	LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter @ 95% control	Natural gas or LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter @ 95% control	

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

Back

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.2 A

Emissions

Soil Remediation Utilizing I.C.

Unit:

Engine

Equipment Rating:

63 hp

Facility:

County of Kings

References:

PTO #: C-380-1-0 Project #:

9233

Location:

Hanford

Date of

Determination:

6/18/1992

Pollutant

BACT

CO

LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter

NOx

LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter

PM10

LPG auxiliary fuel

SOx

LPG auxiliary fuel

VOC

95% Control Efficiency, LPG auxiliary fuel and 3 - way catalytic converter

BACT Status

Comment

Achieved in Practice

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.6*

Last Update 10/20/1995

Soil Remediation Operation - Boiler, = or < 4.2 MMBtu/hr

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or contained in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
NOx	0.036 lb/MMBtu (30 ppmv) when gas firing and 0.048 lb/MMBtu (40 ppmv) when firing diesel backup fuel	1. SCR - 9 ppmvd @ 3% O2 2. 30 ppmvd @ 3% O2 low NOx burner flue gas recirculation 3. Exclusive natural gas firing	
SOx	Natural gas with LPG backup		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State implementation Plan.

*This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source

Back

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 2.1.6 A

Emissions

Soil Remediation operation using a

Unit:

Transportable Natural gas, LPG and Oil Fired

Boiler

Equipment

Rating:

4.2 MMBtu/hr

Facility:

Aman Environmental Construction, Inc.

References:

ATC #: S-2837-1-0

Project #: 950326

Location:

Various Locations, SJVUAPCD

Date of

Determination:

10/20/1995

Pollutant

BACT

CO

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

NOx

0.036 lb/MMBtu (30 ppmv) when gas firing 0.048 lb/MMBtu (40 ppmv) when standby oil firing

PM10

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

SOx

Natural gas with LPG or low-sulfur (= 0.05% S by weight) diesel fuel backup

VOC

BACT NOT TRIGGERED

BACT Status

Comment

Small Emitter

Achieved in Practice

50x

Contained in EPA approved SIP

NOx

BACT Clearinghouse Database Lookup Results

34 Match(s) for Code 2

Air Stripper - Ground Water Treatment

Project Name & Description	A/C Issue Date & ARB File No.	Pollutant
Aman Environmental Construction, Inc. On-site contaminated soil remediation with two trailor-mounted 4.2 MMBtu/hr Donlee Technologies model YSH-100 Turbo Fire II dual-fired marine boilers fired primarily on natural gas with LPG and diesel backup (Detailed Information)	12/26/95 (A/C no. S-2837-1-0) A430-711-96 District Contact: George Heinen San Joaquin Valley Umified APCD (559) 230-5909	NOx (Detailed Control Information) York-Shipley low-NOx burner 0.036 lbm/MMBtu on natural gas 0.048 lbm/MMBtu on diesel SOx (Detailed Control Information) Low-sulfur diesel fuel oil at 0.05% S content by wt. No limit (Equivalent to 0.005 lbm/MMBtu for diesel) VOC/HC (Detailed Control Information) Activated polymer/carbon cannister 95% control

Attachment B

Review of BACT Determinations Published by EPA

S
~
- 1
ຕ
~
7
0
_
≒
.≌
.22
~
O.
-5
_
യ
#
(0
=
⊏
ਰਤ
ᆖ
\vdash
'n١
Ų
⋖
മ്മ

List of BAC	List of BACT determinations published in E	ions publish	ed in EPA's RACT/BAC	:T/LAER Clearing	PA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse for Contaminated Soil Treatment	Treatment
RBLC#	Permit Date	Process Code (A)(B)	Rating	Pollutant	Standard	Case-By-Case Basis
<u>AZ-0031</u>	6/3/1996	29.100	Soil remediation unit controlled by thermal oxidizer, 20 TPH capacity	\chi	90% control efficiency; 55 TPY emission limit	BACT-PSD
<u>OH-0210</u>	7/3/1993	29.100	Soil vapor extraction using Good Engineering Practices (GEP)	VOC	0.0104 lb/hr; 0.25 lb/day; 0.0460 TPY	BACT-PSD
CA-0446, CA-0517	OOC NITO!	9	Contaminated soil treatment controlled by	VOC	99.599% control efficiency; 1.11 lbm/day; 0.02 lbm/ton, by VOC incinerator	BACT-PSD
(SJVAPC	4/2//1992	29.100	VOC incinerator, 50	NOx	1.32 lb/hr, by low-NOx burners	BACT-PSD
<u>5</u>			I PH capacity	PM10	1 lb/hr, 99.98% control efficiency, by baghouse	BACT-PSD
CA-0496 (BAAQMD) (D)	4/1/1992	29.100	Soil vapor extraction controlled by oxidation catalyst, 1267 scfm capacity	NOC	97% control efficiency; 1.7 lbm/day	BACT-PSD
CA-0471 (SCAQM D) (E)	8/9/1991	29.100	Soil vapor extraction system controlled by regenerative thermal oxidizer, 600 cfm capacity	NOC	98% control efficiency	BACT-PSD
CA-0477 (SCAQM D) (E)	8/9/1991	29.100	Soil vapor extraction system controlled by regenerative thermal oxidizer, 312 cfm capacity	NOC	99% control efficiency	BACT-PSD

Case-By-Case Basis	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD	BACT-PSD
Standard	99.2% control efficiency	99.7% control efficiency	99.8% control efficiency; 0.21 lbm/day	98% control efficiency; 2 lbm/day	99% control efficiency; 1 lbm/day	97% control efficiency; 5 lbm/day	95% control efficiency; 5 lbm/day
Pollutant	VOC	VOC	VOC	NOC	VOC	VOC	NOC
Rating	Soil vapor extraction system controlled by regenerative thermal oxidizer, 600 cfm capacity	Soil vapor extraction system controlled by internal combustion engine	Soil vapor extraction system (vent test) controlled by internal combustion engine, 250 cfm capacity	In-situ soil vapor extraction operation controlled by thermal oxidizer, 200 cfm capacity	Soil vapor extraction controlled by thermal oxidizer, 150 scfm capacity	Soil vapor extraction controlled by thermal oxidizer, 500 scfm capacity	Soil vapor extraction controlled by thermal oxidizer, 400 sofm capacity
Process Code (A)(B)	29.100	29.100	29.100	29.100	29.100	29.100	29.100
Permit Date	8/9/1991	8/9/1991	7/29/1991	6/7/1991	12/13/1990	12/13/1990	12/4/1990
RBLC#	CA-0478 (SCAQM D) (E)	CA-0479 (SCAQM D) (E)	CA-0482, CA-0483 (SCAQM D) (E)	<u>CA-0480</u> (SCAQM D) (E)	CA-0429 (SCAQM D) (E)	CA-0481 (SCAQM D) (E)	CA-0476 (SCAQM D) (E)

Case-By-Case Basis	BACT-PSD
Standard	97% control efficiency
Pollutant	VOC
Rating	Soil vapor extraction controlled by thermal oxidizer, 350 scfm capacity
Process Code (A)(B)	29.100
Permit Date	11/15/1990
RBLC#	CA-0474, CA-0475 (SCAQM D) (E)

Process Code 29.100 includes contaminated soil treatment. <u>€@</u>0

Thermal desorption units and soil remediation kilns were not reviewed as part of this soil vapor extraction BACT category.
This 4/27/1992 SJVAPCD BACT determination was superceded by SJVAPCD BACT Nos. 2.1.1A (12/7/1992), 2.1.2 (6/18/1992), 2.1.3 (9/15/1993), 2.1.4 (11/21/1995), and 2.1.6 (10/20/1995).

This 4/1/1992 BAAQMD BACT determination was superceded by BAAQMD BACT No. 151A.1 (6/16/1995).

These 1990 to 1991 SCAQMD BACT determinations were not published in the SCAQMD BACT Clearinghouse for either Major or Non-Major clearinghouse; instead, SCAOMD has been referring to BAAOMD's BACT for SVE (which was also reviewed as part of this BACT determination). Therefore, it was assumed that these SCAQMD BACT determinations are no longer applicable since they have been superceded by the BAAQMD sources. Based on an inquiry to SCAQMD on February 28, 2017, it was confirmed that these BACT determinations are not listed in their BACT BACT.

Selected as the most stringent BACT determination achieved in practice.